THINKERS PUBLISHING IS PROUD TO PRESENT ITS FIRST PUBLICATION

The Richter Rauzer Reborn, restyle your repertoire with the razor-sharp Kozul variation.

The Richter Rauzer is one of the most complex and rich battlegrounds in the Open Sicilian.

This book is the distillation of the authors' decades-long experience in this variation, offering a practical approach based on understanding and knowledge of typical ideas.

It deals with the multiple variations that arise after 1. e4 c5 2. 公f3 公c6 3. d4 cxd4 4. 公xd4 公f6 5. 公c3 d6 6. 魚g5 e6 7. 營d2 a6 8. 0-0-0 魚d7.

Do you wish to explore something double-edged and sharp, this book will leave you confident and fully armed to play for a win.



Zdenko Kozul and Alojzije Jankovic teamed up here to present you a way to even throw your most experienced opponent off balance...

We are convinced you will enjoy the first publication of our new Publishing House called *"Thinkers Publishing"*.



Published September 2014

€ 29.95 - Europe & ROW \$ 35.95 - US & CANADA £ 24.95 - UK

Contents

Key to Symbols used & Bibliography

Preface1. e4 c5 2. \triangle f3 d6 3. d4 cd4 4. \triangle d4 \triangle f6 5. \triangle c3 \triangle c6 6. &g5 e6 7. 1 d2 a6 8. 0-0-0 &d7Ch 1: 9. f4 b5 10. a3 White first stops Black's 'b-pawn' advance11Ch 2: 9. f4 b5 10. 2 as White improves his queen to prepare early tactics29Ch 3 9.f4 b5 10. 2 xc6 & xc6 11. &d3 Swift development for White45Ch 4: 9.f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 xc6 & xc6 12. 2 e1 Bringing 2 d5 into the battle45Ch 5: 9. f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 b1 2 b6 12. 2 f5 A piece sac103Ch 6: 9. f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 b1 2 b6 12. 2 xc6 & xc6 13. f5 A pawn push129Ch 7: 9. f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 b1 2 b6 12. 2 xc6 & xc6 13. 2 e1 The main line129Ch 7: 9. f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 b1 2 b1 2 xc6 & xc6 13. 2 e1 The main line129Ch 7: 9. f4 b5 10. & xf6 gxf6 11. 2 b1 2 b1 2 xc6 & xc6 13. 2 e1 The main line259Ch 9: 9. 2 xc6 & xc6 White plays without 9.f3 or f4290Ch 10: Exercises: Test your knowledge298Ch 11: Solutions to the Exercises306



Zdenko Kozul

8

Alojzije Jankovic



Preface

The idea to write this book appeared about ten years ago when I had already accumulated a large number of tournament games in the Rauzer variation of the Sicilian Defense. Many colleagues and friends told me then that I should write a book about this variation because in chess circles, I am recognized as one of the main and most persistent protagonists of this opening. In my long professional career I have had the opportunity to play this variation against the world's top grandmasters and probably some of my victories in those games have contributed to the association of this variation with my name. The outcome of a game does not always correspond to the outcome of the opening stage, so it's hard to evaluate a variation solely in terms of percentage points scored in it, but altogether I can say that usually I emerged from the opening with a satisfactory position.

However, in the early years, I was simply not ready for the realization of a book project. More than a decade has passed and in the meantime I have started to work as a trainer in the Croatian Chess Federation and significantly reduced the number of tournaments I play in.

One of the young Croatian players with whom I started working was Alojzije Jankovic, at that time a young talent and today a grandmaster and colleague from the National team. As a player at the beginning of his career, he had to create an opening repertoire and his personal choice against 1.e4 was the Sicilian and precisely this Rauzer variation.

I have to mention that it was his voluntary choice, as I am absolutely against the practice of a coach consciously or unconsciously imposing his choices or style of play on a young player. In the years that followed we continued to accumulate games and experience in this variation, and realized that it would make sense for us to combine our efforts in the writing of such a book.

The variation has developed tremendously in the last twenty-thirty years and has acquired many adherents at the grandmaster level. Thus, in a chess database we can find that in addition to experienced aficionados such as Yermolinski, Damljanović, Nevednichy, yours truly and others, followed new players like Kotronias, Baklan, Acs, Lupulescu, Jankovic, etc. for whom the Rauzer is one of the main weapons against 1.e4. How did we envision this book? Our main goal was to offer to players who are in the process of creating a repertoire, a variation which is not so much explored (such as the Najdorf, Dragon, etc.), and yet leads to rich and complicated positions. One of the advantages of the Rauzer compared to its more popular brothers in the Sicilian is that there are much fewer forcing lines and playing these positions primarily requires good understanding and knowledge of ideas and motifs rather than just memorizing the moves.

Besides, I would also like to mention another important point that attracted me to the Rauzer at the time I was creating my fighting repertoire for open tournaments: there are virtually no simple and forced lines that lead to a draw! The pawn structures that arise even in the event of mass simplifications always hide some possibilities for further play and the variation is certainly interesting for players who like to disturb the balance and want to play for a win with the Black pieces.

On the other hand, I also believe that this will be an interesting addition to the libraries of established players who might want to expand their repertoire or simply learn more about this variation.

It should be emphasized that the analysis and recommendations are the result of many years of analysis and work as well as vast experience gathered through many games which we have played. Therefore, I believe that the evaluation of positions and lines is in most cases correct and accurate. Of course, there are positions that will require further verification in tournament games since practical tests are the best way to give a final judgment on the value of a particular continuation or an entire line.

Obviously in our work we did use chess engines like Houdini, Rybka and others, but when analyzing the positions and critical moments we always tried to think practically and to take into account the circumstances of a tournament game. That means when selecting the moves, seeking a game plan or evaluating the positions we primarily relied on our intuition and experience of playing these positions while engines were a welcome check on our analysis. Often we had a position in which the best move or plan is only the fourth or fifth proposal of the engine and sometimes the final assessment of a line differed significantly to that of computers! Without pretensions to give a final assessment or to seek an absolute truth, we wanted to make a practical and useful guide for tournament players which would facilitate their preparation while playing in tournaments.

Let's briefly touch upon several main themes of the book. A large section of the book is devoted to positions where Black has allowed White to 'ruin' his pawn structure in exchange for the bishop pair. The newly arisen pawn structure determines plans for both sides. White will generally try to push f4-f5 to provoke the the advance of the e-pawn so he can exploit the d5-square. Black has two ways of responding to this: one, to keep his pawns as they are and try to use the e5-square with his bishop, knight or even queen. Black can also opt to block the center with e6-e5 after having driven away the c3 knight with a timely ...b4 and searching for counter play on the queenside or on the g-file. Both ways usually involve keeping the king in the center which is the most challenging aspect of Black's position. However, practice has shown that the Black king, protected by a phalanx of pawns and surrounded by his own pieces, is not such an easy target to get to. On the contrary, very often the White king becomes a target and the Black bishop actively placed on h6 turns out to be a significant attacking force!

We will also see positions where Black sacrifices a pawn, in return for positional compensation from his bishop pair and control over the dark squares. Positional compensation will also be the theme in certain lines which lead all the way to the endgame in which Black's more active king will afford him adequate counter play. The reader can look forward to several endgames with truly unexpected moves. Players who like direct attacks on the king will also not be deprived: this variation of the Rauzer gives plenty of opportunity for devastating attacks on the king!

And now a few words about the structure of the chapters in the book. As you will see, we placed our emphasis on what could, based on its popularity in tournament practice, be considered the "main line" of this variation. Within it are many positions that are structurally typical for this line of the Rauzer. Furthermore, as this book is a practical tournament player guide, we give due attention to common sidelines and also to rarely met continuations which have their merits and deserve to be mentioned.

We hope that after reading this book, the combative Black player will be armed with sufficient knowledge and new ideas to confidently embark on the dynamic adventures in the Rauzer variation!

Zdenko Kozul, Zagreb May 2014

Variation with 11. ☆b1 (or 11. f5) 營b6 12. ⊘xc6 ≗xc6 13. f5



1. e4 c5 2. ∅f3 ∅c6 3. d4 cxd4 4. ∅xd4 ∅f6 5. ∅c3 d6 6. ĝg5 e6 7. ≝d2 a6 8. 0-0-0 ĝd7 9. f4 b5 10. ĝxf6 gxf6



Position after: 10... gxf6

In this chapter we will deal with positions where White wants to weaken black's structure with f4-f5. Unlike the other lines, we will reach open positions in which a central pawn break (e4-e5) will be often wshite's tactical motif. However, the bishop pair will provide Black enough counter play in these sharp positions. **11. (b)**

The big alternative here is:

A) 11. f5 When we mentioned open positions we mainly thought about this continuation. White does not care for the diagonal c1-h6, but wants to open the center immediately. 11... b [Previously Black mostly thought that with this move order he should take 11... bxd4!? Kozul: "This continuation, I have tried 25 years ago against the great 'Magician from Riga''' (Michael Tal – editors note) 11... bxd4!? has not been refuted and is certainly a viable option. 12. bxd4 \triangleq h6+ 13. bb1 and now using this tempo to switch his bishop to the dominant e5 square after 13... \triangleq f4 (see analysis diagram)



129

White will constantly be faced with a choice - to insert taking on e6 or not? It is difficult to give a general advice when it is good and when it isn't, it all depends on the specific occurring position. 14. (2)e2 (Kozul: "Let's see how play might develop after 14. fxe6 fxe6 15. (2)e2 & e5 16. (2)e2 (Kozul: "Let's see how play might develop after 14. fxe6 fxe6 15. (2)e2 & e5 16. (2)e2 (1 have tried 16... & c6!? 17. (2)d4 & xe4 18. (2)xe6 (2)e7, yet after 19. (2)f4 f5 20. (2)d3 0-0 21. (2) he1 & c6 white's position should be slightly preferable, Palac,M (2485) - Kozul,Z (2595) 0-1 Vinkovci 1993.) 17. (2)d4 *(see analysis diagram)*



A year later I improved with 17... f5 18. \bigcirc f3 & c6 19. \bigcirc xe5 (19. exf5! exf5 ∞) 19... dxe5 20. e1 c7 with an unbalanced game. In the end I outplayed my opponent and won the game, Tolnai,T (2540)-Kozul, Z (2575) 0-1 Makarska 1994.} 14... & e5 15. d2 exf5 Capturing is not mandatory, but since Black has a strong bishop on e5 he can afford the risk of weakening his light squares in the center. 16. exf5 & xf5 17. \bigcirc f4 (Black has a solid position also after 17. \bigcirc d4 & e6 18. \bigcirc f3 f5 19. & d3 & g7 \leftrightarrows like in the game Van der Wiel,J (2530) -Kozul, Z (2580) 1/2 Wijk aan Zee 1991.) 17... 響b6 18. 奠d3 奠e6 19. 奠e4 墓c8 20. 墓he1 墓c4 21. 公xe6 Black will lose one of his strong bishops, but his pawn structure will improve. And also, yes-Black is a pawn up! (Safer was 21. 公d3) 21... fxe6 22. 響h6 響c7 23. 奧g6+ ⓒd8 24. 奧d3 墓f4 And now White played too ambitious 25. 響h3? (see analysis diagram)



underestimating 25... Ξ b4! 26. Ξ xe5 $\ensuremath{\underline{\otimes}}$ c3! The move that the ex-world champion probably overlooked in his calculation! 27. b3 $\ensuremath{\underline{\otimes}}$ xe5 \mp the black advantage is obvious, but later I played imprecisely and the game ended in a draw, Tal,M (2610)-Kozul,Z (2490)1/2 Marseille 1989."] 12. $\ensuremath{\underline{\otimes}}$ xc6 $\ensuremath{\underline{\otimes}}$ xc6 $\ensuremath{\underline{\otimes}}$ we have already



Variation with 11. ∲b1 (or 11. f5) ∰b6 12. ∕∆xc6 ≜xc6 13. f5

demonstrated that Black is fine in positions that may arise after 13. @e2 b4 14. @d5@xd5 15. exd5 @h6+ 16. @b1 e5 17. @h5 @e3 18. h4 $@e7 \Rightarrow Zecevic, D(2281)$ -Jankovic, A (2537) 0-1 Zagreb 2013.} 13... fxe6 14. @f4 {Now 14. @e2?! would be a serious inaccuracy since after 14... @c5 15. @d5 Black can play 15... 0-0-0! \mp with the better prospects} 14... h5!

(see analysis diagram, previous page)

A well-known motif in similar positions! Black does not want to waste time defending the f-pawn. He goes for activity! 15. ☆b1 [If White takes the pawn 15. ^w/₂xf6 then after 15... 響e3+ 16. 查b1 邕h6 he is forced to exchange the queens 17. Wf3 (17. 響h4 魚e7≅) 17... 響xf3 18. gxf3 邕f6毫 and the bishop pair ensure plenty compensation for the pawn.] 15... "c5! 16. "xf6 [Black is fine after 16. 2d3 which was seen in the game Tiviakov,S (2640) -Galliamova,A (2505) 1-0 Elista 1998. 16... 響e5 17. 響f2 皇g7 18. 邕he1 0-0 19. 勾d5 皇b7 {In the game Black played 19... 當f7 20. c3, but necessary was 20... f5! (Black made a mistake with 20... 宣b8? giving White 21. 心b4!±) 21. 響b6 邕c8
 with good coun-響xb7 罩a7 23. 響c6 ☆xe7 24. 響xc5 $dxc5 \Leftrightarrow$ with a nice position for him] 16...

(see analysis diagram)



[Another possibility is 16... 響e5!? 17. 響xe5 dxe5 (*see analysis diagram*)



Position after: 17... dxe5

Leading to an interesting endgame. Black is a pawn down and due to his double pawns in the center, he has a pawn's deficit on both wings. Yet, his position has some advantages: a strong bishop pair (especially the dark-squared bishop.) His static capital in the center still has a purpose because it controls many key squares and in the end the black rooks could control the open files. Bearing these issues in mind, we believe that Black is o.k. and can hold his position. Kozul" Curiously, I've played this position many times and only few games ended in a draw!" 18. a3 (18. ad acts)

22. a3 🚊 f2 An important motif! Black prepares undermining the kingside's pawns. I xh4 26. I d2 I hf4 27. I e2 ge8 We can see that Black successfully paralysed white's pieces, slowly taking over the initiative. 28. c4 2 b6 and Black was slightly better in Baron,T (2455)-Kozul, Z (2595) 0-1 Rijeka 2010.) 18... 🚊 c5 (Black can also play 18... h4!?) 19. 🚊 e2 🍲 e7 20. h4 🚊 d4 21. ≜ f3 ≜ xc3 22. bxc3∞ with an unclear position in Volokitin,A (2679) -Kozul, Z (2591) 1-0 Sarajevo 2005.] 17. "f4 {Even better for Black is 17. Wd4 Wxd4 18. $\exists xd4 \leq e7$ And now White returned the pawn, not allowing a strong bishop on e5. 19. e5 dxe5 and Black was slightly better in Svetushkin,D (2557) -Kozul,Z (2600) 0-1 Kusadasi 2006.} 17... 0-0-0 Precise {Not bad is also the rook transfer 17... 罩a7!? 18. $\underline{2}$ d3 $\underline{2}$ f7 \overline{a} which occurred in Solleveld,M (2463)-Brandenburg, D (2375) 1/2 unclear position after [Black will quickly grasp the initiative after 18. g3? gg7 19. 簋f2! (Stronger than 20... 堂c7 which was played in Tukhaev,A (2536) -Murariu,A (2526) 1/2 Mamaia 2012.) 21. Wd3 And now after the forced 21... b4! 22. gxe6+ (22. ②e2? 臭b5-+) 22... 登b7 23. ②d5 (23. ②e2? 響e5-+) 23... 臭b5 24. 響b3 **②**c4 25. 響xb4+ (In the case of 25. 響a4 Black has a nice tactical hit with 25... b3!-+) 25... 響xb4 26. 约xb4 盒xe6干 Black ends up with a clear advantage.] 18... $\diamondsuit b8\infty$

11... 🖞 b6 12. 🖄 xc6 🚊 xc6 13. f5



The move which was played by the current world champion Magnus Carlsen in his youth, but already as a strong GM!

13... ₩c5

And now: A) 13... h5!? B) 13... b4?

A) An immediate 13... h5!? Is playable for Black and very often transposes to well known positions. 14. fxe6 [14. @e1 b4 15. @d5 {Black is better after 15. @e2 e5 16. @h4 @e7 17. @g3 @h6 18. @xh5 @g5 19. @g4 @c5 20. h4 (Now 20. @d3 leads to a position transposing to 13.f5 @c5 14. @d3 h5 15. @e1 b4 16. @e2 e5 17. @h4 @e7 18. @g3 @h6 19. @h5 @g5 20. @g4) 20... @e3 \mp and later Black duly won in Hou, Yifan(2612) -Li Chao (2656) 0-1 Danzhou 2011.} 15... @xd5 16. exd5 e5 17. @h4 (Ili 17. c3 a5 18. cxb4 axb4 19. @c1 @a7 20. @c4 @h6) 17... @e7 18. @e2

Variation with 11. ∲b1 (or 11. f5) [₩]b6 12. [∞]xc6 /xc6 13. f5



Position after: 14... fxe6

We now look into: A1) 15. 營f4 A2) 15. 皇d3 A3) 15. 營e1

A1) 15. 響**f4** 響c5 is another transposition, this time to 11.f5 響b6 12.公c6 皇c6 13. fe6 fe6 14.響f4 h5 15.空b1 響c5

A2) 15. ≜d3 ≜h6 16. [@]e2 0-0-0 17. [@]xh5 **≜**e3 18. [@]g4 **≜**d7 19. [@]f3

A3) 15. We1 Now White prepares a



knight jump forcing Black to react. The best way to neutralize white's threat is to play.

(see analysis diagram, previous column)

The alternatives are: A3.1) 15... 0-0-0?! A3.2) 15... 堂a7 A3.3) 15... ☆f7!∞

A3.1) Dubious is **15... 0-0-0**?! since now White ignites pressure on e6 with 16. ②e2! and Black is facing problems. I.e. (see analysis diagram)



Position after: 16. 公e2!

Variations are:

- a) 16... 🚊 xe4
- b) 16... <u>ĝ</u>g7?!
- c) 16... 🚊 h6

a) If 16... **≜ xe4** then White returns 17. ⊘c3→ with **≜**d3 to follow

b) Or 16... ĝg7?! 17. ∅f4→

c) 16... 2h6 17. 2d4 2d7 18. $c4! \rightarrow$ White exploits the position of black's king and with this push he seizes the initiative!

A3.2) Let's mention that in case of **15...** \blacksquare **a7** also follows 16. 止 e2→

A3.3) 15... ∲f7!∞

B) It is important to mention that Black cannot take white's pawn on e4 with 13... b4? 14. $2e^2$ 2xe4 as White quickly develops an attack returning the invested material with interest. 15. $2g^3 2b^7$ [15... $2d^5$ 16. $2h^5$ 0-0-0 17. $2f^4! \pm (17. 2xf6 2b^7 \pm)$] 16. fxe6 fxe6 17. $@f4 2e^7$ {17... f5 18. $2c^4$ d5 19. $@e^5$ } 18. $2e^2$ d5 19. $abe1 e^5$ 20. $@g^4\pm$

14. <u>ĝ</u>d3



Black can (and should) keep the central tension and delay the (e6-e5) blockade.

14... h5



That means however, that now Black should be ready for a central battle and e4e5 tactical motifs.

There are the following branches:

A) 14... b4 B) 14... <u>⊠</u>a7

A) Another possibility for Black is **14... b4** A logical plan for Black, however probably not a fully equalizing method. 15. ②e2 e5 (see analysis diagram)



Now we are coming to the starting position for this line. White now has to make up his mind. Will it be the usual knight ma-

(see Diagram 63)

Variation with 11. ∲b1 (or 11. f5) [₩]b6 12. [∞]xc6 ^âxc6 13. f5

noeuvre to h5 or will he try to stop black's queenside initiative? His best option here is to play on the queenside!

We will analyse here:

- A1) 16. g4!?
- A2) 16. 🖄g3
- A3) 16. c3!

A1) An interesting move is **16. g4!?** With the idea to take space and keeping Black occupied. *(see analysis diagram)*



Position after: 16. g4!?

We will also pay attention to following:

A1.1) 16... h5!? A1.2) 16... a5!? A1.3) 16... ≧g8

^wxh5 ☆b6 25. c4 a3 26. b3 ^we3= and the position was roughly equal in Debevec,B (2260) -Pranjic,M (2350) 1/2 ICCF 2007.

A1.3) 16... 簋g8 17. **簋**hg1 [Too soft is 17. h3?! h5 18. 公g3 hxg4 19. hxg4 (19. 公h5 allows Black to activate his dark-squared bishop 19... 堂e7 20. **簋**hg1 **簋**h8 21. hxg4 **ゑ**h6与) 19... **簋**xg4 20. 公h5 **호**e7与] 17... a5 (see analysis diagram)



Now we have following choices:

A1.3a) 18.g5 A1.3b) 18.c3 A1.3c) 18.h4

A1.3a) If 18. g5 then Black can react with 18... $@e^{7\infty}$ [Unclear is 18... fxg5!? 19. $\blacksquare xg5 \blacksquare h8!∞$ with the idea of h5. (Mistake is 19... $\blacksquare xg5$? 20. @xg5 a4 since White is faster after 21. @g3 a3 22. @h5@d4 23. @f6+ @d8 24. c3! bxc3 25. $@c2\uparrow$)]

A1.3b) 18. c3 h5 Black obtains good counter play. {Fine was 18... ≌ b8 19. cxb4 ≝xb4= as played in Pijpers,A (2332)-Nuri,K (2256) 1-0 Groningen 2011.}

A1.3c) 18. h4 Other moves are not dangerous. 18... h6= {Black can even try 18... a4!?}

A2) 16. 公g3 The most played move 16... h5 17. h4 {17. 營e2 h4 18. 公h5 空e7 19. 營g4 魚h6 20. 營xh4 魚g5 21. 營g4 is transposing to 14. 魚d3 h5 15. 營e1 b4 16.公e2 e5 17.營h4 空e7 18. 公g3 魚h6 19.公h5 魚g5 20.營g4} (see analysis diagram)



Position after: 17. h4

Key alternatives are: A2.1) 17... ☆e7 A2.2) 17... a5? A2.3) 17... ዿh6

A2.1) Too slow is 17... e7 since after 18. e2 h6 White can prevent the exchange of queens with 19. \blacksquare he1 a5 20. xh5 a4 21. c4 a3 [Black is not ready for 21... b3? 22. cxb3 \blacksquare hb8 since White has 23. xf6! (23. d3? was played in Colon Garcia,E (2173) -Perpinya Rofes, L (2387) 0-1 Barbera del Valles 2009.) 23... $\oiint{}$ xf6 24. b5 +- with a crushing advantage] 22. b3 b5 23. \blacksquare d5 xc4 24. bxc4 e3 25. wc8 xc8 26. \blacksquare xe3 \blacksquare xh5 27. g3 \pm and in the resulting endgame White was slightly better in Janos, J (2434) -Zivkovic, M (2334) 1/2 ICCF email 1999.

A2.2) White is also much faster after 17... a5? 18. ∰e2 a4 19. ∑xh5 ☆e7 20. g4 a3 21. ____cc4± like in Vuckovic,B (2458) -Blehm,P (2512) 1-0 Paget Parish 2001.

A2.3) 17... ②h6 18. 響e2 (see analysis diagram)



Position after: 18. @e2

Some other moves deserve coverage too:

A2.3a) 18... ĝe3? A2.3b) 18... ĝe7 A2.3c) 18... ĝe3!

A2.3c) 18... "e3! (see analysis diagram)

Variation with 11. ∲b1 (or 11. f5) [₩]b6 12. [∞]xc6 ^âxc6 13. f5



The best defence. Black should forget the attack and aim for an endgame. His pawn deficit would be compensated with ample active play over the h and g files.

A2.3c1) Inaccurate is 19. **≧h3?! ₩**xe2 20. **♠**xe2 **☆**e7 21. **♠**f3 *(see analysis diagram)*



Position after: 21. 🚊 f3

In his book GM Khalifman stops in this position with the commentary that the h5-pawn will fall. However, we think that

Black is more than o.k. 21... Ξ ac8 22. $\textcircled{}xh5 \ @a4 23. b3 \ @b5 24. g4 \ @c3! 25.$ $\textcircled{}xf6 \ @xf6 26. g5+ \ @e7 27. f6+ \ @d8 28.$ gxh6 Ξ xh6 29. Ξ xd6+ $\textcircled{}c7\mp$ and later Black converted her advantage in Dworakowska,J (2401)-Kosintseva,T (2477) 0-1 Gothenburg 2005.

A2.3c2) 19. ^wxe3?! is also dubious. 19... ⁽²⁾xe3 20. ⁽²⁾e2 ⁽²⁾e7 21. ⁽²⁾f3 ⁽²⁾fac8 22. ⁽²⁾xh5 ⁽²⁾fxh5! 23. ⁽²⁾xh5 ⁽²⁾xe4∓ and Black was much better in Malakhov, V (2605)-Miton,K (2443) 1/2 Yerevan 2000.

A2.3c3) 19. ⊘**xh5** ☆e7 20. ∰f1! (see analysis diagram)



Position after: 20. <a>

White must avoid the exchange of queens! [20. $extsf{W}$ xe3? $extsf{w}$ xe3 21. $extsf{E}$ h3 {21. g4 $extsf{E}$ ag8 (Black was slightly better after 21... a5 22. $extsf{E}$ h3 $extsf{w}$ c5 23. $extsf{O}$ g3 $extsf{E}$ ag8 24. $extsf{w}$ e2 $extsf{w}$ f2 $extsf{T}$ in lermito,S (2462) -Eliseev,U (2550) 0-1 Kocaeli 2013) 22. $extsf{E}$ h3 $extsf{w}$ c5 23. $extsf{w}$ e2 $extsf{w}$ xe4 24. $extsf{O}$ g3 $extsf{w}$ b7 $extsf{T}$ and black's bishops were dominating in Dourerassou,J (2485) -Dubov,D (2629) 0-1 Warszawa 2013.} 21... $extsf{w}$ sf3 $extsf{w}$ is maybe a better defen-

sive setup for White, but still Black is slightly better) 22... Ξ hh8 23. Ξ xe3 Ξ xh4 24. Ξ g3 a5 \mp and Black was somewhat better in Borosova,Z (2302) -Kashlinskaya, A (2377) 1/2 Gaziantep 2012.] 20... & xe4 {Mistake is 20... a5? since White is much better after 21. g4 \pm as in Thorhallsson,T (2367)-Gupta, A (2600) 1-0 London 2010.}

Now we have the following choices:

- a) 21. 🚊 xe4
- b) 21. g4!?
- c) 21. 菖h3
- a) Black is fine after 21. 🚊 xe4 ≝ xe4 ≒
- b) Now a very interesting exchange sac
- **21. g4!?** (see analysis diagram)



(see analysis diagram, next column)



[Unclear is 22... "b6!? 23. gc4∞] [22... I ad8 looks logically, but with this precise move White can keep the pressure! 23. "
 general descent"
 general descent
 general descent compensation for White, Black will face a hard time to equalize. {More forcing is 23. g5 since Black must give the piece 23... g xg5 (23... fxg5?? loses on spot after 24. 響b7++-) 24. hxg5 響xg5 In this position exchanging the queens leads to an advantage for Black due to his more active king! 25. <u>ge2 a5</u> (Dangerous is taking 25... w/xf5? since it allows White to use that square for his knight, e.g. 26. 冨f1 響g5 27. Ig1 響e3 28. 響b7+ Id7 29. 響g2↑ with 公g3 to follow; But possible is 25... d5!? 26. 響e1 a5 27. 響f2 d4 28. 響f3→ 簋d7=) 26. Wb7+ (Nothing is achieved with 26. ᠌g1 響e3 27. ᠌g7 {27. 響b7+ 簋d7 28. 響g2 d5∓} 27... d5 28. 響h4 邕h6与) 26... Idf 27. 響f3 d5 {Also a good option is 28... 邕c7=} <u>28. </u>公g3 (28. 響f2? leads to nowhere after 28... d4∓) <u>28... 罩h2</u>!与 black's rook is very active on the second rank and takes the f2-square from white's queen!} (Black is fine after 23. <a>\vee b7+?!