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## Introduction

"Le vrai est trop simple; il faut y arriver toujours par le compliqué."
"The truth is too simple; one must always get there by a complicated route. - George Sand in a letter to Armand Barbès, 12 May 1867

When we return to a line after years of abandonment, it's like gazing into the face of an old friend whose face, although altered, remains completely recognizable.

Your writer's relationship with the Sicilian Defence has been a stormy one, with a rocky beginning. It started in 1969, at the Lakeshore Chess Club, where my first round opponent happened to be my father. The governor opened with his customary 1 e4. I was in an optimistic mood that day, convinced that the sun would shine, the birds would sing, that luck would go my way, and that Santa would overlook my many transgressions with kindness and mercy with extra presents. I boldly responded 1...c5!. Now the traces of a disapproving frown began to crease mein papa's forehead, and his eyebrows began steadily to arc to the uppermost levels the laws of physics allow. The guv had lectured me previously that the only correct answer to the king's pawn opening was 1...e5!. I openly defied his royal edict since I was enamoured with Fischer's Najdorf games and desperate to imitate him. Of course I went on to get slaughtered in the Najdorf by my father, who at the time outrated me by a rating differential of 1900-1150 (and I was probably overrated to boot!).

Later, in my teens, I tried the Dragon, only to be punished with lists of short, humiliating losses, generally culminating with my getting mated before move 30. Disgusted, I gave up on the Sicilian for about a quarter century.

Then in my early thirties, my buddy, National Master David Hart, came over to my house and we logged on to the ICC. I got challenged to a blitz game by a GM (I forgot who) who opened with 1 e4. As a joke, David told me "You will kill him with a Sveshnikov Sicilian!" So as a counter-joke I accepted his dare and played 1...c5!. To our utter shock, I won rather handily, using an opening I never studied in my life. At long last, I found my Sicilian. For some reason, it is a Sicilian even an initiative-challenged player like me can comprehend, mainly because it forces Black into bold action, or risk strategic suicide. In other words, the system won't allow me to be overly cautious (which is my unfortunate natural chess state).

When we play an opening system in our youth, abandon it, and then return to it years later, it feels different. Not because the opening changed, but because we did.

When we play an ultra-theoretical opening such as the Sveshnikov, we do so with the assumption of superior knowledge and preparation over our opponent, who makes exactly the same assumption, but in reverse! So be prepared to book up heavily. The Sveshnikov is generally not conducive to winging it. Navigating the line - at least at the beginning - can feel like you are an overnight guest in an unfamiliar house, groping your way to the bathroom in the middle of the night. So interwoven are the variations that it becomes difficult to tell when one begins and the other leaves off. I wish I were similar to Sherlock Holmes, who could casually glance at a person and know that his subject is ambidextrous, suffers from clogged arteries, and is married to a blonde named Kristen, his third wife who is 23 years his junior.

For those of us who aren't like Holmes, the secrets of the Sveshnikov can only be only decoded by patiently gathering data of our key positions, which offers us a clearer picture of the facts. The positions we reach may be scarily complex, but if we study our lines (many of which are so long, they look closer to a ceremonial religious rite of passage than a chess opening) well, we end each game with a kind of joyful discomfort of leaving the gym after a tough work-out. We know that each game played in the line makes us stronger.

In such complex opening variations we, in a sense, lack independence, since we are obliged to follow in theory's footsteps, or risk terrible punishment. When the reader goes through one of my books, the last thing I want is for him to feel like he is in algebra class, cramming just before the exam. But to survive in this opening, we must do just that.

I have two types of student:

1. The kind who doesn't bother to study, but prefers to test a position out in actual play.
2. The kind who wants to look every line up.

Players who fit category number one need not apply to the Sveshnikov brother and sisterhood! This is an opening which just can't be winged successfully (even though I admittedly lucked out and did just that in my very first Svesh blitz game).

## The d5 Issue



The d5-square - where White and Black's interests intersect - in the Sveshnikov is the hand projecting from the lake, firmly grasping Excalibur. Here is our starting position. In the opening stage, we willingly hand White control over d5 and then, oddly, like a cast-out king, fight with all our power to regain our birthright. Now we may stare disgustedly at the giant hole we just created on d5, and our inability to do much about White's coming occupation of it. Yet if we go through the games in the book, we find that it is often our side who ends up in control. Remember the story of the tortoise and the hare? (Should I put that children's book in the bibliography?) White may derive comfort in control over d5, but turning ownership of the square into something concrete is another matter.

In exchange for handing d5 to White, we get the following benefits:

1. Black controls a larger percentage of the centre than in normal Sicilians, where Black's pawns tend to be on d6 and e6, or d6 and g6.
2. White can only occupy d5 with a single piece, even if he controls it with three or four. And remember this: There is no need to challenge an impediment if we are capable of simply going around it. In some cases, White loses the game while retaining full control over d5.
3. Black may later lash out with an ...f7-f5 break (with or without ...g7-g6 first); or ...f6-f5 (if White has doubled the black f-pawns) and then sometimes a second ...f7-f5 as well.
4. Black generates queenside play with ...a7-a6 and ...b7-b5.
5. Black is well positioned to challenge d 5 later, with the potential for knights on $\mathrm{f} 6, \mathrm{~b} 6$, a bishop on e6 or b7, and sometimes even a rook on c5.

## Structure versus Activity

Right from the start, the players have diametrically opposed expectations. The Sveshnikov is one of the few openings (similar to Queen's Gambit Tarrasch) where our side deliberately allows White to compromise our structure to generate compensating piece activity. We can be assured that our opening is sound, simply from its pedigree. So many great players, including multiple world champions, wouldn't be attracted to the line if it were otherwise.

Now let's take a look at some of our key battlegrounds:


We begin with this strategically rich variation, where White gives up the bishop pair in order to dominate d5. Now our structure may appear terrible; but don't underestimate Black's counterplay. Piece activity has a way of masking a multitude of strategic sins. Our "bad" dark-squared bishop later turns into a hero for our side, more often than not.


Here we arrive in the more tactical main line, which is filled with labyrinthine constructs capable even of confusing GMs. Of course to declare any analysed position as "unclear" is the annotator's biggest cop-out, since it degenerates into a superficial observation of the uncertainties of our lives - which we all already understand. Yet, dare I say to you that the positions we soon reach from this line tend to be... well... unclear.

White once again handed over the bishop pair. In this instance we recaptured on f6 with a pawn, and the game opens quickly when we achieve ...f6-f5. Now who will this favour? White, the slightly better developed side? Or Black, who owns the bishop pair? Easy access to attacks - for either side - is a salient feature of this line. Compromise is no longer an option. It's kill or be killed.


Most sensible players would consider this sacrifice a suspect creature, from which distance should be kept. However, as we all know, many of our more belligerent opponents lovingly enter such messes. Their philosophy: sometimes the best solution at hand in an argument is to clout the other guy (us!) in the head. If you are looking for logic from this position, then you may as well look for a logical story line in a Three Stooges movie. You may have noticed that, in the above diagram, manoeuvring is a skipped formality. From time to time, even quiet players must revisit an atavistic savagery, which is normally buried deep within our genes.

This line is an offshoot of the previous diagram. White's sacrifice resembles a throwback to those blasphemously archaic pre-Morphy days, when everyone attacked without first developing fully or controlling the centre. When we study such irrational positions deeply, they no longer feel so irrational anymore. Wing it, mishandle it by even an iota, and we risk a swift and painful kick in the region of our gluteus maximus. Black has a path to equality at a minimum. To find out how, go to the end of Chapter Four.


To understand this position, we must shift our perspective from the Sveshnikov to a King's Indian, which the structure resembles. White has played an early 0 c3-d5. After a swap, we got White to plug d5 with a pawn. In exchange, he gets a tempo on our c6knight. The opposite wing majorities play a huge role in our coming decision making.

# Chapter Four 9 寞xf6 gxf6 10 d5 f5 



This is a major divergence from the positional 9 d5 we examined in Chapters Two and Three. Picasso could look at an ordinary scene and, in his mind, turn it into a series of mysteriously oblique boxes. This Picassoesque variation is really scary for Black, where alterations constantly sway on the winds of theoretical fashion, and is one of the main reasons I play the more controlled 6...h6 "Ulfie" (the final chapter of the book), which brings me happily to instant endings, more often than not. A psychologist needs to explain why I live calmly and fearlessly in an Armageddon-threatened world, menaced by overpopulation, global climate change due to ozone depletion, threats of nuclear and biological annihilation, and worst of all, heart stoppage and sudden death through past cholesterol indulgence (I now must pretend to love kale, when my wife is around), yet quake in fear at the thought of entering an unclear position, such as those featured in this chapter of the book!

Question：In what way do the positions differ from the previous two chapters？

Answer：They tend to be more open and tactical than those after 9 d5，and our pawn structure radically alters，since we recapture on $f 6$ with our $g$－pawn．This means：

1．We get an open g－file to attack if White castles short．
2．We can challenge White＇s centre with ．．．f6－f5；then if we manage to dissolve the e4－ pawn，we are in a far better position to challenge for control over d5，as well as mobilize our second f－pawn with ．．．f7－f5．

3．Our king is less safe，since we voluntarily broke up our kingside pawn formation．

Here are some of the most critical positions we consider in this chapter：


White has just reinforced e4 with 11 寞d3．We respond with the further unbalancing plan of ．．．宦e6 and ．．．崽xd5，after which we place all our hopes on our mobile e and f－pawns．


Here White exchanged pawns on f5．We can now begin to fight for d5 with a plan in－ volving ．．．宽g7，．．．0－0，．．．思e6 and ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{e}$ e7．


The f1－bishop has just sacrificed itself on b5．People played like this in the pre－Morphy days，when there was only one direction：forwards．This line of the Sveshnikov is similar to working for an irrational boss，who must be humoured at all costs．The sacrifice may be somewhat shady，but it hasn＇t reached the level of defunct just yet．The previously out－of－ play a3－knight is complicit in the attempted heist，as White gets two ominously placed horsemen－both of which give the c7－square the prosecutor＇s courtroom stare－and two pawns for the piece．We must know our theory deeply to survive White＇s dirty tricks．

## Game 22 P．Leko－V．Kramnik Linares 2004

## 

When we endear a line to ourselves，it almost turns into a chess version of our alter ego． Vladimir Kramnik（along with Peter Leko）is to my mind，the greatest exponent of the Sveshnikov Sicilian in chess history，and a study of his games in the variation is obligatory for any serious Sveshnikov player．



## 9．．．gxf6

Our most dynamic option，which we cover exclusively in this book．The tempo－losing 9．．．蒝xf6 is perhaps playable，but we won＇t be looking at it．White scores $80 \%$ from the posi－ tion after 10 d5 wisid8 11 c 4 ，and I would never voluntarily enter this from Black＇s side．

## 10 d5

White sidesteps our ．．．b5－b4 cheapo and occupies the d5 hole．
10．．．f5
Black＇s most popular and，in my opinion，best move．Kramnik has just as often played 10．．．寞 97 here（as he in fact did against Short in Game 27）．This is known as the Novosibirsk
 then ．．．f7－f5；but we won＇t be covering that line either．

## 11 置d3

Leko backs up the e4－pawn，while continuing to develop．White has numerous alterna－ tives here，which we will examine later in this chapter： 11 c3 鼻g7 12 息d3（Games 25－26）， 11 c3 鬼g7 12 exf5（Games 27－29，including the important transposition via 11 exf5）， 11 g 3

11．．．寄e6


Our intention is to chop the d5－knight and plug the d5－square with a white pawn．
$120-0$
White continues to develop rapidly．

Question：Isn＇t White castling into an attack down the open g－file？

Answer：Yes，an attack for our side is a real future possibility．White willingly castles into the open g－file，banking on his development lead to keep him safe．

Other moves：


 notes to Game 24），since c2－c3 isn＇t as useful for White as castling．Then 14 exf5 蘅xd5 15 f6 may look serious，but Black is just fine after 15．．．e4（see Game 25）．
c） 12 c4！？㥪a5＋ 13 署f1 was played in our introductory game，B．Ivanovic－E．Sveshnikov， Yugoslavia－USSR match，Krk 1976 （see Game 1 in the Introduction）．

## 12．．．寞xd5

We begin operation plug d5．

## 13 exd5 0 2 14 嵝h5

In the next game Shirov opts for 14 C3 息g7 15 鲧h5，when White can answer 15．．．e4 with 16 鬼c2，keeping the bishop on the b1－h7 diagonal．

Question：Doesn＇t White have the shot 14 xb5 here？

Answer：There is nothing scary about the move，which loses time for White．Obviously we can＇t take the knight，so we simply ignore it with $14 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {最 }} \mathrm{g}$ ！（now White＇s knight really is hanging） 15 c3 e4（another tempo gained） 16 鬼c4 6 ，when Black generates enough
compensation for the pawn, with the superior minor pieces and control of the dark squares.







D.Gutsche-V.Golod, correspondence 2003. With active pieces and access to the strong e5square, Black has no problems at all in these endings, even a pawn down.

## 14...e4

Gaining a tempo, while clearing e5 for Black's pieces.



## 16．．．皆c8！？

An interesting idea．Black normally castles with 16．．．0－0 17 c2 and then：
 correspondence 2003，in an even position．Play might have run 21．．． $0 x$ xc6 22 dxc6 橪xc6 23橪xf5 曾e5 24 峻f4 d5，when Black doesn＇t stand even a shade worse．
b）17．．．．茴c8！？was Leko＇s choice a few months later，presumably influenced by our main



 O．Leko，Dortmund（blitz play－off） 2004.

 Black achieved dynamic equality，V．Kramnik－V．Anand，Munich（blitz） 1994.

## 

Kramnik applies immediate pressure against d5．Here 17．．．0－0 18 气e3 f4 19 f5 酋c5 comes to the same thing，while 19．．．总e8 transposes to Leko＇s games in the previous note．

## 18 元e3f4

The most accurate continuation．18．．．隌d7 19 f3！is unpleasant for Black，since 19．．．f4？？is
 White．
19 f5


19．．．0－0
 walks into the trick 22 菣xb5＋！，clearing the e－file for White＇s rook．Even 22．．．㯖f8 doesn＇t


 compensation for the pawn in view of his aggressively posted pieces and attacking chances．
20．．． $0 x 5$
With each potential（future）attacker swapped away，Black finds it difficult to replenish the lack．He must attack，or else face an arduous defence due to his scattered structure．

## 

Black retained material equality，yet White still has a pull，since Black＇s pawns are slightly weaker．

## 24 曾fd1 曾e5

An indicator that Kramnik may be playing for the full point．Black probably has enough
 27 崽xb5量b8 28 皆d5（or 28 c4）28．．．f5．

## 25 紧e3 f5！？



An ambitious pawn offer．It＇s the type of move to which an annotator would attach a dubious mark，if Kramnik had lost the game－but he didn＇t，so I won＇t！After this decision， the evil genie can no longer be put back into the bottle．World class grandmasters have a way of leading you into an adventure，with the illusion that it was all your idea．In fact， chess players can be boiled down to two categories：

1．The kind who believe they should bend to the position＇s will．
2．The kind who believe the position should be bent to their will．
Kramnik＇s last move makes him a likely candidate for category number two．
It has been my observation that the ambitious types who want it all don＇t always get it， since nobody gets everything in life．Yet in this game，Kramnik proves me wrong，refusing to recant and head into an inferior but drawable ending with something like $25 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 46$ 昆a7


26 断b6
Double attack．The piratical queen steals a pawn，while avoiding pursuit．Obviously this is not the end of the story，since Black＇s build－up on the kingside now takes ominous shape． 26．．．f4

Once we begin such an attack，we gain nothing by holding back．Forward is the only available option．

## 27 断xd6！

Leko wisely avoids the greedy 27 息xb5？！（the unwise grabbing of material turns us into the ant who is unable to push its too－large crumb into the tiny aperture of its mound hole）


## 27．．．糫g5 28 f3

White puts a stop to the menacing ．．．f4－f3，at the cost of allowing Black a deeply en－ trenched passed pawn．

## 28．．．e3 29 嗇a7



 and White is unlikely to hold this position．



Making way for the f8－rook，in anticipation of the kingside assault．

## 30 㧘 d 7


 repeats） 33 ．．．量a8 with full compensation，since White＇s forces are quite uncoordinated；for



## 30．．．黒g8 31 宸h3？！

Now the advantage swings to Black；whereas White still looks okay after 31 象h1．

## 31．．．縟66！

Covering h7，while preparing ．．．罠h5．

## 32 笪 $\operatorname{ad7}$ ？？

Accurate intelligence wins a war，long before even the first shot is fired．This move is based on a huge miscalculation．

 are all over the place，like thrown toys after a child＇s tantrum，but he should hold the game due to the presence of bishops of opposite colours；for example， 35 ．．．曽b8 36 甾d6 b4 37
 progress．

## 32．．．党h5 33 皆7d6 鼻f6！

The guiding principle of an insurgency is to visit mayhem upon the enemy，and then scurry away before they are able to organize a reprisal．

## 34 囬xf6



Exercise（combination alert）：Leko miscalculated in entering this line．
Black＇s pieces point murderously at the white king．Find one strong move and White＇s position collapses．

Answer：Zwischenzug／Double attack．

## 

Defenders scatter like a flock of terrified chickens at the sight of the hungry，axe－ wielding farmer．
35 㟴xh5

For White，this is a case of conspicuous consumption，without choice in the matter．

## 

Black threatens mate in two ways．Notice the terrible irony of White＇s rook sitting on f6， which blocks all ideas of 宸e5＋．

## 36 g4

＂You can imprison my body，but cannot do so to an idea，＂declares White＇s king，who

 have failed to 36 䍖 $g 1$ and wins，but here the white king is still in the way．

## 36．．．新f2＋0－1

 death sentence，with each argument weaker than the one which preceded） 38 ．．．㯪xd1 39真g2 畐xg4＋，mate follows swiftly．
Summary： 11 芯d3 is a theoretically dense variation，so you need to be well versed in the typical ideas for both sides．We will see more of these in the next two games．

## Game 23

A．Shirov－P．Leko
Candidates semi－final（1st matchgame），Dortmund 2002




## 15 欮h5

White＇s main idea，bringing the queen into the assault．The lone attacker is the ambi－ tious Neanderthal，facing down a petulant Mastodon，who thinks to himself：＂My clan will eat well tonight！＂．We look at an earlier 瑷h5 deployment in the next game．

